## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

MASTER LENDING GROUP, LLC,	
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 4:21-cv- 00263-RSB-CLR
v.	
TRUIST BANK,	
Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff,	
V.	
HIRSCH & TUCKER, LLC	
Third-Party Defendant.	
STATUS I	REPORT
Pursuant to the Scheduling Order entered	in the above captioned case, Plaintiff and
Defendant make the following report to the Court	
I. DISCOVERY	
Plaintiff's Position:	
A. Is all discovery completed in this case	?
□ Yes ⊠ No	
• If "No", please list what discovery	is remaining:
Plaintiff wants to take a 30(b)(6) depo Party Defendant that may need to com	sition of Defendant. There is currently a Thirdplete discovery.
B. Are there any discovery issues which he resolution?	nave been or will be brought before the Court for
⊠ Yes □ No	

## • If "Yes", please briefly explain:

Plaintiff will request that the Court permit it to take the 30(b)(6) deposition of Defendant.

On January 11, 2022. Plaintiff's counsel inquired about taking the 30(b)(6) deposition of the corporate defendants and provided Defendant's Counsel with drafts of the notices identifying subject matter that was contemplated at that time and requesting proposed dates for the depositions to occur in February. After some email communication and Plaintiff providing an extension of time for Defendants to respond to discovery requests, the parties conferred about the 30(b)(6) depositions of defendants on or about Tuesday, January 18, 2022. Plaintiff agreed for its representative's deposition to be taken on January 28, and Plaintiff understood that the defendant's deposition would be scheduled after discovery responses were received from defendant. On January 31, 2022, defendants filed a motion for leave to file a Third-Party Complaint.

After reviewing defendants' discovery responses, Plaintiff sent a good faith letter on February 7, 2022, identifying numerous answers to interrogatories and requests for production of documents that Plaintiff believed were insufficient. Counsel for the parties conferred about discovery responses, the defendants' motion for leave to file a Third-Party Complaint and also about Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File its First Amended Complaint. The parties filed a joint stipulation on February 10, 2022, indicating that neither party would oppose the other party's pending motions and stipulated to the dismissal of Wells Fargo. Thereafter, Plaintiff knew that Defendant Truist would be filing its Third-Party Complaint and that discovery would have to be completed after the third party was added to the case. Plaintiff expected a new scheduling order to be entered with the addition of a third-party defendant and believed it was inefficient to take the 30(b)(6) deposition of defendant prior to the third-party defendant being involved as that would almost certainly result in two depositions of the defendant's representative.

On March 10, after the Court granted its unopposed motion, Plaintiff filed a Third-Party Complaint against Hirsch & Tucker, which filed its answer on March 30, 2022. Defendant has been aware of Plaintiff's desire to take a 30(b)(6) deposition of defendant since at least January 11. Plaintiff delayed the deposition solely because of the addition of a Third-Party and its effort to be efficient in use of discovery resources.

If a new scheduling order is not entered in connection with the third-party claim, Plaintiff will seek relief from the Court to allow it to take the 30(b)(6) deposition of defendant. Plaintiff does not otherwise seek to expand discovery or delay this case but believes it should be entitled to take the deposition of the defendant, which it first began seeking to schedule in January of 2022. Any delay in completing this discovery was solely based upon the addition of a Third-Party Defendant and expectation that the addition of that party would impact the additional discovery in the case.

48169895 v1 2

Defendant's Position:  A. Is all discovery completed in this case?
⊠ Yes □ No
• If "No", please list what discovery is remaining:
Click here to enter text.
B. Are there any discovery issues which have been or will be brought before the Court for resolution?
⊠ Yes □ No
• If "Yes", please briefly explain:
To the extent Plaintiff intends to request that the Court allow Plaintiff to take a 30(b)(6) deposition of Defendant, the Court's November 10, 2021 Scheduling Order (Doc. 16) made clear that the Court expected the parties to comply with the deadlines outlined therein. Per the Scheduling Order, the deadline to depose non experts was March 15, 2022 and the deadline to depose experts was June 15, 2022.
Plaintiff's decision to "delay" pursuing a 30(b)(6) deposition was just that: Plaintiff's decision. Further, the Third-Party Complaint was not filed until Marcl 9, 2022, so it is unclear why Plaintiff could not have pursued a 30(b)(6) deposition before the March 15, 2022 deadline. Defendant intends to oppose any request by Plaintiff to take a 30(b)(6) deposition when the deadline to do so has already passed.
SETTLEMENT
A. Have the parties made efforts to resolve this case?
⊠ Yes □ No
• If "Yes", please explain those efforts:
Plaintiff's counsel and Defendant's counsel have had conversations on the telephone regarding the potential for settlement.

B. Are the parties prepared to discuss settlement of this case with the Court at this time?

48199889 v1 3

II.

⊠ Yes	□ No	
• If "No", plea	se explain:	
Click here to	enter text.	
C. Are there any this discussions for the	rd parties and/or lien holders that should be included in any settlement his case?	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
• If "Yes", plea	ase list those parties:	
Third-Party I	Defendant Hirsch & Tucker, LLC	
D. The Parties propose the following agreed upon dates, prior to the deadline for filing motions, for conducting a status conference. (Please provide several dates for the Court's consideration in scheduling. Regardless of whether the Parties seek to discuss settlement, the Court may require that each party have a representative with full settlement authority present.)		
Plaintiff and Defendant are available July 7,12, 14 of 2022.		
MOTIONS		
A. The Plaintiff(s) anticipate filing the following Motions:		
$\boxtimes$	None	
	Motion for Summary Judgment	
	Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony	
	Other Motion(s) (Except for Motions in Limine), please explain:	
	Click here to enter text.	
B. The Defendant(s) anticipate filing the following Motions:		
	None	
	Motion for Summary Judgment	

48199789 v1 4

III.

□ Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony
 □ Other Motion(s) (Except for Motions in Limine), please explain:
 Click here to enter text.

### IV. ADDITIONAL MATTERS

If there are any other matters regarding this case that the Parties seek to bring to the Court's attention at this time, please briefly explain:

Click here to enter text.

Dated: June 30, 2022

/s/ Dwight T. Feemster

Dwight T. Feemster Georgia Bar No. 257253 dwight@duffyfeemster.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Master Lending Group, LLC

#### **DUFFY & FEEMSTER LLC**

340 Eisenhower Drive Suite 800, Second Floor Savannah, Georgia 31406 Telephone: (912) 236-6311 Facsimile: (912) 236-65423

/s/ Jeffrey W. DeLoach
Jeffrey W. DeLoach
Georgia Bar No. 081669
jeff@ehdhlaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Master Lending Group, LLC

## EPPS, HOLLOWAY, DELOACH & HOIPKEMIER, LLC

1220 Langford Drive Building 200, Suite 101 Watkinsville, Georgia 30677 Telephone: (706) 508-4000 Facsimile: (706) 842-6750 /s/ Victor L. Hayslip

Victor L. Hayslip,
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*vhayslip@burr.com
Benjamin B. Coulter,
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*bcoulter@burr.com
Kevin R. Stone
Georgia Bar No. 830640
kstone@burr.com
Joseph H. Stuhrenberg
Georgia Bar No. 398537
jstuhrenberg@burr.com

Attorneys for Defendants Truist Bank

## **BURR & FORMAN LLP**

171 Seventeenth Street, NW Suite 1100 Atlanta, Georgia 30363 Telephone: (404) 815-3000 Facsimile: (404) 817-3244

48199785 v1 5

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

MASTER LENDING GROUP, LLC,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 4:21-cv-00263-RSB-CLR

v.

TRUIST BANK,

Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

HIRSCH & TUCKER, LLC

Third-Party Defendant.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that I have on this day served all parties in this case with the forgoing Status Report in accordance with the directives from the Court Notice of Electronic Filing ("NEF") which was generated as a result of this electronic filing.

This 30<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2022.

EPPS, HOLLOWAY, DELOACH & HOIPKEMIER, LLC

BY: /s/ Jeffrey W. DeLoach

Jeffrey W. DeLoach

Georgia Bar No. 081669

1220 Langford Drive Building 200-101 Watkinsville, GA 30677 706-508-4000 jeff@ehdhlaw.com